arXiv:1411.5753v2 [nucl-th] 5 Jul 2015

Study of isospin nonconservation in the framework of spectral distribution theory

Kamales Kar[⋆,a] **and Sukhendusekhar Sarkar**[†,b]

[⋆] *Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University,Belur Math, Howarah 711202, India*

[†] *Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah 711103, India*

ABSTRACT

The observed isospin-symmetry breaking in light nuclei are caused not only by the Coulomb interaction but by the isovector one- and two-body plus isotensor two- body nuclear interactions as well. Spectral distribution theory which treats nuclear spectroscopy and other structural properties in a statistical framework was earlier applied mostly to isospin conserving Hamiltonians. In this paper we extend that to include the nuclear interactions non-scalar in isospin and work out examples in sd shell to calculate the linear term in the isobaric mass-multiplet equation originating from these non-isoscalar parts.

[a] email: kamales.kar@gmail.com

[b] email: ss@physics.becs.ac.in ; sukhendusekhar.sarkar@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Presently, many studies based on the no-core shell models or valence shell models, utilise charge dependent interactions,working in the proton-neutron formalism. The resulting wave functions , in these calculations after diagonalisation, possess a slight isospin admixture. However, in conventional nuclear structure physics, isospin is often considered to be a good symmetry, at least as a starting point, with the isospin operator **T** commuting with the nuclear one and two-body Hamiltonian ($H_{nuc}(1)$ and $H_{nuc}(2)$) so that the many-nucleon energy eigenstates are labeled by specific isospin quantum numbers T and they have a degeneracy of $(2T+1)$. The states of the multiplet i.e. $T_z = -T, -T+1, ...T-1, T$ belong to different nuclei and are often called the Isobaric Analog States (IAS). This follows from the postulate of Charge Independence of the interaction meaning that the proton-proton, proton-neutron and neutron-neutron interactions are identical. Extensive experimental evidence gathered by now confirms that isospin symmetry is broken by not only the electromagnetic Coulomb interaction but also by a small amount of charge-dependent nuclear interaction [1]. The difference of energies of the ground states (or specific low-lying states) of two mirror nuclei i.e. nuclei with neutron number (N) and proton number (Z) interchanged, is dominantly due to the isospin nonconservation and isoscalar part of the coulomb interaction. A number of examples, particularly of light nuclei are available with reasonably accurate measurements of the Mirror Energy Difference (MED) [2] given by $\Delta E = E(J, T_z = -T) - E(J, T_z = +T)$ with T=1/2, 1.... Actually Wigner [3] had postulated an isobaric mass multiplet equation (IMME) to take care of the splitting, in general, going upto the quadratic term as

$$M(\alpha, T, T_z) = a(\alpha, T) + b(\alpha, T)T_z + c(\alpha, T)T_z^2 \tag{1}$$

for multiplets for given α and T. Here α represents all other relevant quantum numbers including the nuclear mass A. The coefficients a, b and c can be calculalted by theory or extracted from experimental results. On the theoretical side one has constructed one and two-body Hamiltonians no longer isoscalar but with isovector and isotensor parts as well and performed shell model [4] and other calculations. The parameters of the one plus two-body interaction used in the shell model calculations were then best fitted to reproduce the experimental data [1].

In contrast to microscopic structure models like the nuclear shell model a theory to describe the statistically averaged energy spectra and transition strengths of nuclear excitations and decays have been developed over the years based on the results of random matrix ensembles embedded in many-nucleon spaces [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. This theory [10, 11, 12]avoids the diagonalisation of large Hamiltonian matrices and use the low moments of the Hamiltonian by evaluating the traces of low powers of the Hamiltonian in many-nucleon spaces. The basic result of this theory is the fact that the averaged density of energy eigenstates goes fast towards a Gaussian with the increase in the number of valence nucleons in large dimensional shell model spaces. This result is seen to be true even for each configuration when one considers configuration partitioning as long as the configuration dimension is large. Here configuration partitioning means distributing in various ways 'm' valence particles over the '1' valence orbits (j_i) coupled to final isospin T, that is, $(\mathbf{m}, T) = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_l)^T = [{j_1}^{m_1} \otimes {j_2}^{m_2} ..... \otimes {j_l}^{m_l}]^T$ with $m = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i$. Though in principle this theory is not meant for considering individual states, even then one can invert the problem and locate low-lying discrete states in energy like the ground state and compare the values with experimental

binding energies. This has yielded reasonable success for light nuclei not only for the stable ones [13], [14] ,[15] but for the neutron-rich nuclei as well [16]. First studies of isospin nonconserving interactions and their effect on nuclei using spectral distribution theory were carried forward by Hecht and Draayer[17], following earlier discussion by French[18] But then almost all applications of spectral distribution theory have been done considering isospin conserving interaction only. In this work we plan to extend this to include isovector and isotensor Hamiltonians and describe how the relevant traces are first evaluated in spaces with many neutrons and protons and then through subtraction of these traces one projects out the ones in states with fixed isspin. This is then used to estimate the coefficient b in IMME. In section 2 some basic features of the spectral distribution theory with respect to the calculation of low-lying spectra are described. Section 3 involves spectral distribution investigations including the non-isoscalar Hamiltonians and presents some results for *sd* shell nuclei. Section 4 gives some concluding remarks.

2 Methods of Spectral Distribution Theory

The Gaussian nature of the density of states can be demonstrated for the non-interacting case, i.e. for a one-body Hamiltonian, by using the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) neglecting the Pauli blocking effect. For the interacting case i.e. with (1+2)-body Hamiltonian, one needs to carry out an averaging over the Embedded Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (EGOE) [19] [20] to get the Gaussian result. With 'm' valence particles distributed over 'N' single particle states giving the shell-model space dimension $d(m)$ (equal to ${}^{N}C_{m}$), the normalised density of states $\rho_m(E)$ is then a function only of the centroid $\epsilon(m)$ and width $\sigma(m)$ of the Gaussian. But for using the result for real nuclei one needs to partition the space into subspaces with fixed isospin and for better accuracy, do a configuration partitioning, by distributing the 'm' particles in 'l' shell model orbits, giving rise to the normalised configuration-isospin densities, $\rho_{\mathbf{m},T}(E)$ and dimensions $d(\mathbf{m},T)$, where, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_l)$. The dimension of the scalar-isospin space with m valence particles and isospin T and with a specific value for T_z, is given by

$$d(m,T) = \frac{2(2T+1)}{N+2}\binom{\frac{1}{2}N+1}{\frac{1}{2}m-T}\binom{\frac{1}{2}N+1}{\frac{1}{2}m+T+1} \tag{2}$$

where N is the total number of single particle states. For the expression for configuration-isospin space dimension we refer to Kar[21].

The Gaussian form of the eigenvalue density is seen to be true in the $(\mathbf{m},T)$ subspaces too as long as dimension of each subspace is large enough. The intensities $(I_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{T}}(E))$ in the subspaces then just add up to give the total intensity.

$$I_{m,T}(E) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} I_{\mathbf{m},T}(E) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} d(\mathbf{m},T)\rho_{\mathbf{m},T}(E) \tag{3}$$

The asymptotic Gaussian result is observed for almost all realistic Hamiltonians and the calculated higher cumulants are found to be small. For the second moment in the space with fixed values of valence particles 'm' and isospin 'T', one writes for width squared $\sigma^2(m,T) =$

$< H^2 >^{m,T} -[< H >^{m,T}]^2$ where the first term on the RHS is the square of the norm of H and the second is the square of the centroid evaluated in the (m,T) space. The widths in configuration spaces have similar expressions.

The ground state energy $\bar{E}_g$ of a nucleus with the number of valence nucleons m and isospin T is given by the Ratcliff prescription [22]

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \int_{-\infty}^{\bar{E}_g} I_{\mathbf{m},T}(E) dE = d_0/2 \tag{4}$$

where d_0 is the degeneracy of the ground state. Thus the energy where the integrated area below the level density from the low energy side reaches half the ground state degeneracy, is taken to be the energy of the ground state.

The total Hamiltonian under consideration here is given by

$$H = \sum_{\nu} H^{(\nu)} = H^{(0)} + H^{(1)} + H^{(2)} \tag{5}$$

where $H^{(0)}$, $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ are the isoscalar, isovector and isotensor (i.e. tensor of rank two in isospin) parts. For handling this Hamiltonian one first writes all the three parts in proton-neutron(p,n) formalism. The proton and neutron single particle matrix elements are given by [4] $\epsilon^p_r = \epsilon^0_r + \epsilon^1_r/2$ and $\epsilon^n_r = \epsilon^0_r - \epsilon^1_r/2$ where ϵ^0_r and ϵ^1_r are the isoscalar and isovector single particle matrix elements for orbit 'r'. The values of the isovector and isotensor single particle energies and two-body matrix elements were obtained by Ormand and Brown [4] for the $0p$, pds, $1s-0d$, df and $0f-1p$ shells by a least squared fit to the observed 'b' and 'c' coefficients of the IMME. We use the same values here though we limit ourselves to the study of the coefficient 'b' only. The relation between the two-body matrix elements in the (p,n) formalism and the fixed isospin formalism is well known [23] and so we mention it here briefly. The proton-proton, neutron-neutron along with the neutron-proton or proton-neutron 'T=1' part matrix elements are [4]

$$V^{pp}_{rstu:J} = V^{(0)}_{rstu;J,T=1} + V^{(1)}_{rstu;J,T=1}/2 + V^{(2)}_{rstu;J,T=1}/6 \tag{6}$$

$$V^{nn}_{rstu;J} = V^{(0)}_{rstu;J,T=1} - V^{(1)}_{rstu;J,T=1}/2 + V^{(2)}_{rstu;J,T=1}/6 \tag{7}$$

$$V^{pn}_{rstu;J}(T=1) = V^{(0)}_{rstu;J,T=1} - V^{(2)}_{rstu;J,T=1}/3 \tag{8}$$

where $V^{(\nu)}_{rstu;J,T}$ are the two-body matrix elements in the isospin formalism. The ν =0,1,2 stand for the isoscalar, isovector and rank 2 isotensor matrix elements respectively. Then the total neutron-proton or proton-neutron two-body matrix elements become [4]

$$V^{pn}_{rstu;J} = [(1+\delta_{rs})(1+\delta_{tu})/2)]^{1/2}(V^{pn}_{rstu;J}(T=1) + V^{(0)}{}_{rstu;J,T=0}) \tag{9}$$

where ${V^{pn}}_{rstu}(T=1)$ is the proton-neutron matrix elemen given in equation (8).

In the spectral distribution method the traces of one operator or a product of operators in second quantized form are obtained by the contraction of the creation and destruction operators and then summing over all states. Alternatively one can write expressions for the trace equivalent operators in a closed form using the scalars of the relevant group involved [23], [21]. For example, the trace equivalent(TE) Hamiltonian that reproduces centroids in the 'pn' subspaces is given in terms of the scalars of $\Sigma_r[U(N_r/2)+U(N_r/2)]$ subgroup by

$$\begin{aligned} H(TE;pn) &= \sum_r \epsilon_r^p n_r^p + \sum_r \epsilon_r^n n_r^n + \sum_r W_{rr}^{pp} n_r^p (n_r^p-1)/2 + \sum_{r<s} W_{rs}^{pp} n_r^p n_s^p \\ &+ \sum_r W_{rr}^{nn} n_r^n (n_r^n-1)/2 + \sum_{r<s} W_{rs}^{nn} n_r^n n_s^n + \sum_{r,s} W_{rs}^{pn} n_r^p n_s^n \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where n_r^p and n_r^n are the proton and neutron number operators in orbit 'r', W_{rr}^{pp} and W_{rr}^{nn} are the averaged two-body proton-proton and neutron-neutron matrix elements in orbit 'r' and W_{rs}^{pp}, W_{rs}^{nn} and W_{rs}^{pn} the averaged two-body proton-proton, neutron-neutron, proton-neutron two-body matrix elements with one particle in orbit 'r' and the other in orbit 's'.

For calculating the widths, we use the relation between the square of the norm of the traceless part of the total Hamiltonian ($\tilde{\mathrm{H}}$) and the products of the traceless parts of the isoscalar, isovector and isotensor Hailtonians, given by

$$< \tilde{H}^2 > = < \tilde{H}^{(0)} \tilde{H}^{(0)} > + 2 < \tilde{H}^{(0)} \tilde{H}^{(1)} > + 2 < \tilde{H}^{(0)} \tilde{H}^{(2)} > \tag{11}$$

where $< \ldots >$ denote averages in the relevant spaces and we neglect the terms quadratic involving $H^{(1)}$ or $H^{(2)}$ as the terms non-scalar in isospin are small. Once the centroids and variances in all the proton-neutron configuration spaces $((\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_p,\ \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_n))$ are evaluated for a fixed number of particles, corresponding averages in fixed isospin configuration spaces are then projected out by a procedure involving the (p,n) traces including the relevant Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for nonisoscalar Hamiltonians. Briefly the method is as follows [24], [25].

If we consider a space with m_p protons and m_n neutrons then the eigenvalue of T_z is $T_0 = (m_n - m_p)/2$. Then the isospin quantum number has values T_0, T_0+1,...,$(m_n+m_p)/2$. The trace of operator O in the (p,n) spaces is given as a sum over the traces of the reduced matrix elements of O^{λ_T} in the isospin spaces with different T values

$$<< O >>^{m_n=m-k, m_p=k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (m-2i+1)^{-1/2} (C^{m/2-i\ \ \lambda_T\ \ m/2-i}_{m/2-k\ \ 0\ \ m/2-k}) << O^{\lambda_T} >>^{m,T=m/2-i} \tag{12}$$

where $<< \ldots >>^{m_n,m_p}$ and $<< \ldots >>^{m,T}$ are the traces in the (p,n) spaces and isospin spaces respectively. Putting k=0,1,...m one gets a set of (m+1) equations. By inverting them one can write the traces of the reduced matrix elements in (m,T) spaces in terms of the traces in the (p,n) spaces.

3 Applications to some nuclei in the $1s-0d$ shell

The spectral distribution results are obtained in configuration spaces with fixed number of valence particles and isospin. For that calculations are first carried out in proton-neutron configuration

spaces where instead of the shell model space of 'l' orbits one considers '2l' orbits with the first 'l' as proton orbits and the next 'l' orbits as neutron orbits. The single particle energies(spe) similarly have '2l' values the first 'l' being proton single particle energies and the next 'l' as neutron single particle energies. When one has only isoscalar interaction the proton and neutron spe-s for a specific orbit are the same but once one includes T-nonconserving interactions they are no longer the same as can be seen from their expressions given in the previous section. In the $1s-0d$ shell there are 6 orbits in this pn-formalism with 6 distinct spe-s. The two-body matrix elements in the pn-formalism are given, instead of the V^{JT}_{rstu} where (JT) stand for angular momentum and isospin and 'r','s','t' and 'u' for the orbits, in the form of $V^{pp}_{rstu;J}$, $V^{nn}_{rstu;J}$ and $V^{pn}_{rstu;J}$ given by equations (6-9). For the isoscalar part of the interaction in $1s-0d$ shell we use the highly successful Wildenthal's mass dependent interaction. For the nonisoscalar one and two-body parts we use the values of Ormand and Brown [4] as already mentioned because the shell model results done with them show good agreement with experiment. The traces for the two lowest moments are first calculated in spaces with fixed proton and neutron numbers and then the traces in the fixed isospin spaces are evaluated applying equation (12).

We consider three examples in the $1s-0d$ shell nuclei and calculate the ground state energies by spectral distributions with the total Hamiltonian as well as with only the isoscalar and isovector parts. From the contribution of the isovector part one can estimate the parameter 'b' of IMME coming from the nuclear interactions. We add to that the Coulomb contribution to 'b' taking values from figures 9 and 10 of [1] for all the 3 cases.

Table 1 and Table 2 give the values for the centroids and widths for the isoscalar as well as for the total Hamiltonian for nuclei with 4 and 5 valence partcles in $1s-0d$ shell with isospin 1 and 3/2 respectively. They show all possible fixed (m,T) configurations and the notation (m_1, m_2, m_3) stands for m_1 particles in $0d_{5/2}$, m_2 particles in $0d_{3/2}$, and m_3 particles in $1s_{1/2}$. All the results show a very interesting aspect: once one includes the isospin violating parts in the interaction the centroid of each configuration moves down by a few MeV whereas the width remains essentially the same. Thus the whole configuration energy state density just shifts in energy due to the isospin violating terms. One also realises that this change increases with the T value with the total number of valence particles remaining the same. This is mainly because the nonzero isovector single particle energies contribute to the centroids but has very little contribution in spreading the states in the spaces around the centroids. One also realises that this change increases with the T value with the total number of valence particles remaining the same. Table 3 shows our results for the ground state energies (GSE) by spectral distributions with and without the isospin violating parts and the value of the parameter 'b' calculated for the nuclear interactions. The GSE values are with respect to ^{16}O as the closed core. The Coulomb contributions are then added to these values. The results agree reasonably well with the observed values which are also included in Table 3. The table gives the ground state energies for three nuclei for the cases of (i) only isoscalar Hamiltonian, (ii) isoscalar and isovector Hamiltonians (iii) the total Hamiltonian including isoscalar, isovector and isotensosor parts. The difference of energies for the first two cases is equal to bT (where T_z has the value T in the ground state). So this energy difference divided by T gives the value of the coefficient b and given in column 5 of table 3. Keeping in mind that the spectral distribution theory is a statistical framework for the global properties of the nuclei the agreement is satisfactory close to the observed value as well as those obtained in [1], [4]. We note here that Ormand [26] obtained in his study a formula for 'b' with a good global

fit to data and that gives values for 'b' as 4.28, 4.46 and 4.63 for the three cases with A=20, 21 and 22, respectively and this is again close to the values obtained in the SDT calculations. We also note that in earlier works to predict the ground state energies more accurately one considered corrections including low-lying excited states in the spectra as well as by including small non-zero skewness and excess for the averaged configuration density distributions [13], [14] , [15]. But in calculating b, as the difference in energy of two states of nuclei with the same number of valence particles is involved those corrections are unimportant. The ground state energies in the (m_p, m_n) spaces , given in the parentheses in Table 3, can be compared to the experimental values but after corrections stated above are incorporated.

The calculations also point out to a major simplification of the problem. The changes in the values of the centroid almost fully come from the one- body isovector part. This we have found to be true for all the cases considered and is due to the smallness of the overall multiplicative constants for the two-body matrix elements of both the isovector and isotensor two-body terms given by Ormand-Brown [4]. Thus neglecting the nonisoscalar two-body parts will be a good approximation. Then one can easily write trace equivalent Hamiltonians for the total Hamiltonian by adding a one-body term proportional to the vector isospin operator **T** to the trace equivalent isoscalar Hamiltonians [18] to carry out other spectral distribution studies.

Similar spectral distribution calculations can be carried out for the 0f-1p shell as well where experimental results are available [4]. There the trace subtraction procedure to project out fixed-T traces, as shown in equation (12), will involve many terms, particularly for low values of isospin.For this shell the interactions, both isoscalar and nonisoscalar for which shell model studies were done [4], are available.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we show that spectral distribution methods can be applied to problems with Hamiltonians which have non-isoscalar parts also. We plan to work out in future other examples going beyond the $1s-0d$ shell and compare the spectral distribution results with the experimental ones. Also replacing the isovector and isotensor interactions by just a one-body isovector part needs detailed future study.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge Prof. Maitreyee Saha Sarkar of Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, for her help during manuscript preparation in LaTex.

References

[1] Yi Hua Lam, N.A. Smirnova and E. Caurier, Phys. Rev. C **87** 054304 (2013)

[2] J. Jänecke, in : Isospin in Nuclear Physics, editor D. H. Wilkinson, North-Holland Publishing, Amstetdam, 1969; D.G. Jenkins et al, Phys. Rev. C **72** 031303 (2005)

Fixed T configuration	Dimension	Isoscalar H centroid (MeV)	Isoscalar H width (MeV)	Total H centroid (MeV)	Total H width (MeV)
(400)	105	-23.91	4.62	-27.31	4.64
(310)	360	-18.07	4.46	-21.45	4.48
(301)	180	-21.53	4.54	-24.91	4.56
(220)	366	-12.19	4.50	-15.55	4.51
(211)	408	-15.97	4.50	-19.33	4.52
(202)	81	-21.07	4.33	-24.46	4.35
(130)	144	-5.87	4.67	-9.22	4.69
(121)	264	-10.07	4.66	-13.42	4.67
(112)	120	-15.41	4.32	-18.77	4.34
(103)	12	-22.40	3.80	-25.79	3.82
(040)	15	0.23	5.14	-3.10	5.17
(031)	48	-3.95	5.01	-7.28	5.03
(022)	34	-9.86	4.57	-13.21	4.60
(013)	8	-17.07	3.73	-20.42	3.75

Table 1: Centroids and widths of the isoscalar Hamiltonian compared to the centroids and widths of the total Hamiltonian (including the isovector and isotensor parts) in all fixed-T configurations with m=4 particles in $1s-0d$ shell with T=1. First column gives the number of particles in the particle partitions in the order $(0d_{5/2}^{m_1}0d_{3/2}^{m_2}1s_{1/2}^{(m-m_1-m_2)})$.

[3] E.P. Wigner in Proceedings of the Robert A. Welch Foundation Conference in Chemical Research, Vol 1, edited by W.O. Milligan (Welch Foundation, Houston, 1957) p 86

[4] W.E. Ormand and B.A. Brown, Nucl. Phys. A **491** 1 (1989)

[5] J.B. French, Phys. Lett. **23**248 (1966)

[6] J.B. French, Phys. Lett.B **26** 75 (1967)

[7] J.B. French,K.R. Ratcliff Phys. Rev. C **3** 94 (1971)

[8] F.S Chang,J.B. French,and T. H. Thio, Ann. Phys. (NY) **66** 137 (1971)

[9] V.K.B Kota and R. U. Haq, "Spectral Distributions in Nuclei and Statistical Spectroscopy", World Scientific Publishing Co., 2010.

[10] T.A. Brody, J. Flores, J.B. French, P.A. Mello, A. Pandey and S.S.M. Wong, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53** 385 (1981)

[11] J.B. French and V.K.B. Kota, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **32** 35 (1982)

[12] V.K.B. Kota and K. Kar, Pramana- J. Phys. **32** 647 (1989)

[13] S. Sarkar, K. Kar and V.K.B. Kota, Phys. Rev. C **36** 2700 (1987)

Fixed T configuration	Dimension	Isoscalar H centroid (MeV)	Isoscalar H width (MeV)	Total H centroid (MeV)	Total H width (MeV)
(500)	84	-31.22	5.30	-36.33	5.32
(410)	480	-25.03	4.91	-30.10	4.94
(401)	240	-28.12	5.33	-33.21	5.36
(320)	740	-19.06	4.87	-24.11	4.89
(311)	880	-22.32	5.11	-27.38	5.13
(302)	150	-27.33	4.90	-32.40	4.92
(230)	444	-12.80	4.99	-17.84	5.00
(221)	910	-16.47	5.18	-21.51	5.21
(212)	384	-21.54	4.78	-26.56	4.80
(203)	30	-27.92	4.12	-33.01	4.13
(140)	96	-6.45	5.29	-11.47	5.31
(131)	336	-10.31	5.45	-15.34	5.47
(122)	240	-15.80	4.94	-20.82	4.96
(113)	48	-22.29	3.92	-27.34	3.93
(050)	4	-0.60	6.23	-5.58	6.26
(041)	32	-4.33	6.00	-9.32	6.02
(032)	36	-10.21	5.29	-15.20	5.32
(023)	12	-17.06	4.21	-22.06	4.22

Table 2: Centroids and widths of the isoscalar Hamiltonian compared to the centroids and widths of the total Hamiltonian (including the isovector and istensor parts) in all fixed-T configurations with m=5 particles in $1s-0d$ shell with T=3/2.

(m,T)	GSE for $H^{(0)}$ (MeV)	GSE for Total H (MeV)	GSE for $H^{(0)}+H^{(1)}$ (MeV)	b from GSEs (MeV)	b from Coulomb (MeV)	Total $\|b\|$ (MeV)	Observed $\|b\|$ (MeV)
(4,1)	-33.83(-33.03)	-37.26 (-36.44)	-37.31	-3.48	-0.7	4.18	4.21
(5,3/2)	-42.16 (-40.79)	-47.30 (-45.89)	-47.37	-3.47	-0.9	4.37	4.44
(6,1)	-62.88 (-61.55)	-66.22 (-64.96)	-66.38	-3.50	-1.1	4.60	4.60

Table 3: The parameter 'b' of IMME coming from nuclear interactions from evaluation of the ground state energies (GSE) calculated by spectral distributions. The Coulomb contribution is taken from ref [1]. The numbers in the parentheses are GSEs calculated in the (m_p, m_n) spaces.

[14] K. Kar, S. Sarkar, J.M.G. Gomez, V.R. Mamfredi and L. Salasnich, Phys. Rev. C **55** 1260 (1997)

[15] S. Choubey, K. Kar, J.M.G. Gomez and V.R. Manfredi, Phys. Rev. C **58** 597 (1998)

[16] K. Kar J. Phys. G **40** 015105 (2013)

[17] K.T. Hecht and J.P. Draayer, Nucl. Phys. A **223** 285 (1974)

[18] J.B. French in 'Isospin in Nuclear Physics' edited by D.H. Wilkinson (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969)

[19] K.K. Mon and J.B. French, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **78** 111 (1973)

[20] J.M.G. Gomez, K. Kar, V.K.B. Kota, R.A. Molina, A. Relano and J. Retamosa, Phys. Rep. **499** 103 (2011)

[21] K. Kar, Nucl. Phys. A **368** 285 (1981)

[22] K.F. Ratcliff, Phys. Rev. C **3** 117 (1971)

[23] J.B. French, in Proc. of the International School of Physics, Enrico Fermi, Course 36, (C. Bloch ed.) Academic Press, New York (1966)

[24] P.E. Mugambi, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Rochester (1970)

[25] S. Sarkar, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Calcutta (1992)

[26] W.E. Ormand, Phys. Rev. C **55** 2407(1997)